

This document is classified as **Clear** in accordance with the Panel Information Policy. Recipients can distribute this information to the world, there is no limit on disclosure. Information may be shared without restriction subject to copyright.

Ingestible CPL document format

December 2025 Issues Group – Meeting Summary

Attendees

SEC Party Category	Working Group Members	Representing
Large Suppliers	Julie Brown (JB)	British Gas
	Sharon Armitage (SA)	E.ON
	Francesca Scott (FS)	EDF
	Jack Marling (JMa)	Octopus Energy
	Joey Manners (JM)	Octopus Energy
	Ralph Baxter (RB)	Octopus Energy
	Emslie Law (EL)	OVO
	Mahfuzar Rahman (MR)	Scottish Power
	Kevin Clark (KC)	Utilita
Small Suppliers	Daniel Davies (DD)	ESG Global
	Steve Blackler (SB)	E Gas and Electricity
Other SEC Parties	Patricia Massey (PM)	BEAMA
	Swetta Coopamah (SCo)	BEAMA
	Beth Tatton (BT)	Calisen Metering
	Martin Bell (MB)	EUA
	Michael Snowden (MSn)	Secure Meters
Network Parties	Kirsty Maddock (KM)	National Grid Electricity Distribution
	James Shippey (JSh)	Northern Powergrid
Ofgem	Ian Chalfon (IC)	Ofgem

Other Participants		
DCC		
Bradley Baker (BB)	David Walsh (DW)	
SECAS		
Ali Beard (AB) <i>IG Chair</i>	Abi Obadan (AO) <i>Meeting Secretary</i>	Simon Grimwood (SG) <i>Lead Analyst</i>
Marc Rhodes (MRh)	Georgie Severin (GSe)	Lydia Bentley (LB)

Overview

The Smart Energy Code Administrator and Secretariat (SECAS) provided an overview of the issue identified, and a view of the proposed solution.

Background

- Some Smart Energy Code (SEC) Parties have difficulty processing Certified Product List (CPL) Data into easy-to-read reportable formats.
- Updates of the CPL often require SEC Parties to utilise manual processes when updating their reporting systems.
- Costs continue to be incurred by individual parties in processing CPL updates, often manually.

Potential Solution

- SECAS to add a new CPL document in a machine-readable format.

Working Group Discussion

SECAS (SG) advised the Working Group that it is SECAS' recommendation this issue not proceed as a modification.

SG noted this is due to the SEC not requiring specification of how the CPL is published, with the SEC Panel having discretion on this matter. SECAS (SG) advised they had spoken to colleagues within SECAS who process the CPL, and they agreed a machine-readable format of the CPL would be a sensible idea to implement and would be happy to implement this in the near future.

SECAS (SG) asked the Working Group if they have a preference on the format of the newly proposed document, with the suggestion of the Proposer being a JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) format, which was endorsed by SECAS. The Working Group were happy to support the JSON format moving forward.

A Working Group member (DD) queried how SEC Parties will be notified of the new format. SECAS (AB) confirmed this would be achieved through SEC newsletters website updates, direct emails and calls to inform stakeholders. Another Working Group member (MS) suggested SECAS hold a workshop with SEC Parties to talk them through the new format and how to convert existing CPL data into a JSON document. SECAS (AB) agreed and added a workshop could also be a good place to discuss any potential further improvements to the CPL process.

Next Steps

The following actions were recorded from the meeting:

- The Issues Group AGREED not to proceed with a SEC modification.

- SECAS to hold a workshop with SEC Parties to discuss the generation of a new CPL format.